## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 BONN 17561 061518Z

44

**ACTION EUR-25** 

INFO OCT-01 IO-14 ISO-00 EURE-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10

L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-20

USIA-15 ACDA-19 NEA-10 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 CU-04 AEC-11

AECE-00 OIC-04 OMB-01 DRC-01 /168 W

----- 052246

PR 061507Z DEC 73

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 9104

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

USMISSION GENEVA

SECRETBONN 17561

VIENNA FOR MBFR DEL: GENEVA FOR CSCE DEL

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, EEC, GW

SUBJECT: CSCE: CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES

REF: (A) STATE 237463 (NOTAL), (B) BONN 17039 (NOTAL)

BEGIN SUMMARY. EMBOFF MADE THE POINTS IN DEPT'S GUIDANCE DEC 5 IN CONVERSATION WITH FONOFF OFFICIALS, WHO REACTED BY EXPRESSING BOTH APPRECIATION FOR REASSURANCES AND SOME SKEPTICISM REGARDING SPECIFIC FORM U.S. POSITION ON CBM'S MIGHT EVENTUALLY ASSUME. HIGHLIGHTS OF FRG REACTION, INCLUDING DRAFT ON TOPIC BEING PREPARED IN FONOFF, FOLLOW. END SUMMARY.

1. THRUST OF FONOFF OFFICIALS' CONCERN CENTERED ON WHAT HE INTEPRETED AS UNDUE U.S. EMPHASIS ON VOLUNTARY AND SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BONN 17561 061518Z

GENERAL CHARACTER OF CBM'S. FONOFF REP SAID BONN DID

NOT OPPOSE USE OF ILLUSTRATIVE LISTS BUT CONSIDERED THAT THERE SHOULD BE A MIXTURE OF OBJECTIVE AND SUBJECTIVE STANDARDS FOR CBM'S. ON OBJECTIVE SIDE, PARTICIPATING STATES SHOULD UNDERTAKE POLITICALLY BINDING COMMITMENT TO PRE-ANNOUNCE MANEUVERS OF AGREED SIZE, GEOGRAPHICAL AREA AND WITHIN AGREED TIME. STATES INVOLVED IN MANEUVER WOULD THEN DECIDE, SUBJECTIVELY, WHETHER PARTICULAR MANEUVER MEETS AGREED CRITERIA.GERMAN CONCEPT IS THAT OBJECTIVE AND SUBJECTIVE CRITERIA WOULD "MUTUALLY INFLUENCE EACH OTHER."

- 2. FONOFF REP SAID BONN HAS PREPARED DRAFT ON CBM'S REFLECTING BOTH OBJECTIVE AND SUBJECTIVE ELEMENTS, WHICH COULD BE ADDED TO PREAMBLE (U.S.NATO 4197) EARLIER TABLED BY FRG IN NATO; (SOME EC-9 REPS HAVE TOLD BONN THEY CONSIDER FRG DRAFT PREAMBLE TO BE TOO THIN). WHILE NOT YET IN FINAL FORM OR READY FOR TABLING, FRG DRAFT HAS BEEN DISCUSSED WITH FRENCH AND BRITISH AT GENEVA. FRENCH SAID THEY LIKED FRG APPROACH AND ADDED THAT QUAI IS ELABORATING SIMILAR CONCEPT. BRITISH ALSO REACTED FAVORABLY, WHILE SAYING THAT SUBJECTIVE ASPECTS LEFT TOO MUCH LEEWAY TO SOVIET.
- 3. FIRST PARA OF FRG DRAFT ENUMERATES THE THREE CBM'S; PRE-ANNOUNCEMENT OF APPROPRIATE MANEUVERS AND MOVEMENTS AND EXCHANGE OF OBSERVERS; (GERMAN REP REITERATED THAT FRG WILL NOT PRESS FOR MOVEMENTS). PARA 2 WOULD SET OUT THE AGREED OBJECTIVE CRITERIA. PARA 3 OFCURRENT DRAFT READS AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN TEXT: THE PARTICIPATING STATES EXPRESS THEIR
INTENTION TO NOTIFY IN ADVANCE (MANUEVERS AND MOVEMENTS)
WHICH COMPLY WITH THE CRITERIA LISTED ABOVE. EACH STATE
WILL DECIDE WITHIN ITS OWN COMPETENCE WHETHER THE ABOVE
MENTIONED CRITERIA ARE FULFILLED. WHEN DOING SO, EACH
STATE CONCERNED WILL BEAR IN MIND THAT ADVANCE NOTIFICATION (OF MANEUVERS AND MOVEMENTS) IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
CRITERIA SUBSCRIBED TO IS AN IMPORTANT BASIS FOR BUILDING
CONFIDENCE AND REDUCING OF TENSION IN EUROPE...END TEXT
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 BONN 17561 061518Z

4. COMMENT. FONOFF REP MADE CLEAR CONTINUED GERMAN CONCERN THAT U.S. WISHES ANY SUBSTANTIVE ASPECTS OF THE TWO MANEUVER CBM'S TO BE TREATED IN VIENNA, LEAVING RATHER HOLLOW THE TWO GENEVA CBM'S AND REDUCING SHARPLY THE MILITARY/SECURITY CONTENT OF CSCE. HILLENBRAND

Declassified/Released US Depar	ment of State EO	Systematic Review 30	JUN 2005
--------------------------------	------------------	----------------------	----------

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: COLLECTIVE SECURITY, MILITARY EXERCISES, NEGOTIATIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 06 DEC 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: morefirh
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973BONN17561

Document Number: 1973BONN17561 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A Film Number: n/a From: BONN

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19731242/aaaabeqo.tel Line Count: 114 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE Office: ACTION EUR

**Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

**Previous Channel Indicators:** Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: (A) STATE 237463 (NOTAL), (B) BONN 1, 7039 (NOTAL) Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: morefirh

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 01 AUG 2001

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a Review History: RELEASED <01-Aug-2001 by worrelsw>; APPROVED <20-Sep-2001 by morefirh>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CSCE: CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES

TAGS: PFOR, GE, EEC, CSCE

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005